

#### Libera and EEI Science

Maria Hakuba, Peter Pilewskie, Graeme Stephens, and the Libera Science Team CERES STM, Spring 2023



# Content

- Libera goals
- ERBE-like SW irradiance (SSF)
- ASR time evolution in NIR & VIS (SYN)
- JPL EEI workshop

# Libera goals and objectives

NASA's first Earth Venture Continuity Mission

**Overarching Science Goals** 



**OG1:** Provide radiances for seamless continuity of the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) ERB Climate data record

- TOTAL (0.3->100  $\mu$ m), SW (0.3-5  $\mu$ m) and LW (0.5-50  $\mu$ m) radiance over 24km nadir footprint
- On JPSS-3 with VIIRS imager, launch 2027, 5-year mission
- Electrical Substitution Radiometer using VACNT detectors

**OG2:** Advance the development of a self-contained, innovative & affordable observing system.

• Wide field-of-view camera to accelerate split-SW ADM development and aid in scene identification (cloud fraction)

**OG3:** Provide new and enhanced capabilities that support extending ERB science goals.

- Additional split-SW channel (near-IR: 0.7-5  $\mu$ m) to derive shortwave near-IR and visible irradiance.
- Improved understanding of solar energy deposition in climate system

## Libera continuity

RBSP



Libera beyond L-1b

## Libera continuity

RBSP



Libera beyond L-1b

# "ERBE-like" SW irradiances - testing inversion draft code with SSF data

One day of SSF footprint radiances, fluxes, and CERES inputs/outputs including angular geometry: CERES\_SSF\_Aqua-XTRK\_Edition4A\_Subset\_2021032100-2021032123.nc

- 12 ERBE-scene types + conversion table from IGBP
- 12 SZA, 8 RAA, 7 VZA bins
- ERBE ADMs were generated from Nimbus VII ERB scanner observations (90x90km at nadir): coarse scene types; cloud fraction derived from TOMS and THIR
- CF from SSF clear-sky fraction while ERBE-Like approach derive cloudy scene from SW and LW radiances



- Libera SW and VIS ADMs for ERBE scenes do not exist yet apply Suttles' ERBE ADMs as is...
- Compare "ERBE-like" results to SSF irradiance

Scene ID number	Cloud fraction	Surface type Ocean	
1	Cloud-free (0-5%)		
2	Cloud-free (0-5%)	Land	
3	Cloud-free (0-5%)	Snow	
4	Cloud-free (0-5%)	Desert	
5	Cloud-free (0-5%)	Land-ocean mix	
6	Partly cloudy (5-50%)	Ocean	
7	Partly cloudy (5-50%)	Land or desert	
8	Partly cloudy (5-50%)	Land-ocean mix	
9	Mostly cloudy (50-95%)	%) Ocean	
10	Mostly cloudy (50-95%)	Land or desert	
11	Mostly cloudy (50-95%)	6) Land-ocean mi	
12	Overcast	All	

IGBP index	IGBP surface type	ERBE surface type	
1	Evergreen needle-leaf forest	Land	
2	Evergreen broad-leaf forest	Land	
3	Deciduous needle-leaf forest	Land	
4	Deciduous broad-leaf forest	Land	
5	Mixed forest	Land	
6	Closed shrubland	Land	
7	Open shrubland (desert)	Desert	
8	Woody savanna	Land	
9	Savanna	Land	
10	Grassland	Land	
11	Permanent wetland	Land	
12	Cropland	Land	
13	Urban and built-up	Land	
14	Cropland/natural vegetation mosaics	Land	
15	Permanent snow and ice	Snow	
16	Barren (desert)	Desert	
17	Water	Ocean	
N/A (NSIDC)	Tundra	Land	
N/A (NSIDC)	Fresh snow	Snow	
N/A (NSIDC)	Sea ice	Snow	

# **ERBE-like vs CERES-TRMM – known differences**



80

# "ERBE-like" SW irradiances comparison to SSF

All scenes irradiances compared to SSF: "ERBE-like" is slightly brighter than SSF



ERBE-like conversion reduces bias, but enhances RMSE slightly compared to isotropic radiance assumption.

#### Conversion by scene type: clear sky



- Overcast dominates the sample at almost 50%
- Errors dominated by land-ocean mix
- Misclassification, angular errors, ignoring stratification by cloud properties...

#### Conversion by scene type: cloudy



## **Regional differences (1deg averaging)**



## **Regional differences (1deg averaging)**



### Qualitatively look the same!

## **Regional differences (1deg averaging)**

"ERBE-like" – SSF SW flux



Systematic striping: Spurious VZA dependence in albedo?

# "ERBE-like" SW irradiances – testing inversion draft code with SSF data

One day of SSF footprint radiances, fluxes, and CERES inputs/outputs including angular geometry: CERES\_SSF\_Aqua-XTRK\_Edition4A\_Subset\_2021032100-2021032123.nc

#### Next steps:

- Work on weighted land-ocean mix
- Understand regional differences better (by scene type)
- Derive SW ADMs for ERBE-like scenes using SSF (or do they exist?)
- Derive SW ADMs for ERBE-like scenes using OSSE output (consistent with VIS ADM)
- Implement TRMM-like conversion (refined ADMs by cloud properties)
- Implement conversion for VIS ADMs from OSSE output

— ...

# **Climate from Libera's "spectral" lens**

Libera's fourth channel measures NIR (0.7-5  $\mu$ m) and total SW (0.3-5  $\mu$ m) radiances:

- NIR & VIS signatures of processes that control the absorption of solar radiation & SW climate response.
- Better understand the hemispheric symmetry of planetary albedo and model biases.
- Explore Nimbus-7, ESM, RTM, SCIAMACHY







**Climate model simulations and observations suggest global warming is sustained by shortwave absorption** (positive climate feedbacks).



# SW absorption change in UKESM1 (4xCO<sub>2</sub>)

- Clear-sky absorption: ~ 2.5 Wm<sup>-2</sup> (in line with Donohoe et al., 2014)
- (All-sky: ~ 6 Wm<sup>-2</sup>)
- SUR/ATM & NIR/ VIS ~ 50% (3 Wm<sup>-2</sup>)





#### Present-day temporal evolution in ASR:

### CERES SYN1deg adjusted all-sky spectral SW fluxes integrated over 4 bands

		SW 1-7 band	SW 8-10 band	SW 11-13 band	SW 14-18 band
_	□ All-Sky TOA Spectral Shortwave Down Flux				
Adjusted All-Sky Spectral SW Fluxes 1	All-Sky TOA Spectral Shortwave Up				
	All-Sky Surface Spectral Shortwave Over The State Stat				
	All-Sky Surface Spectral Shortwave Up Flux				

TABLE A1.

Shortwave spectral bands for Langley Fu and Liou model used in this work.

Band 1	No. of subbands	Wavelength (µm)		Wavelength (cm <sup>-1</sup> )		Gases treated	
		0.1754	0.2247	57 000	44 500	O <sub>3</sub>	
2	1	0.2247	0.2439	44 500	41 000	O3	
3	1	0.2439	0.2857	41 000	35 000	O3	
4	1	0.2857	0.2985	35 000	33 500	O3	
5	1	0.2985	0.3225	33 500	31 008	O3	
6	1	0.3225	0.3575	31 008	27 972	O3	
7	1	0.3575	0.4375	27 972	22 857	O3	
8	1	0.4375	0.4975	22 857	20101	O <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> 0	
9	1	0.4975	0.5950	20101	16807	O <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> 0	
10	1	0.5950	0.6900	16807	14 500	O <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> 0	
11	8	0.6900	0.7940	14 500	12 600	O <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> 0, O <sub>2</sub>	
12	6	0.7940	0.8890	12 600	11 250	$H_20$	
13	8	0.8890	1.0420	11 250	9600	$H_20$	
14	7	1.0420	1.4100	9600	7090	$H_20$	
15	8	1.4100	1.9048	7090	5250	H <sub>2</sub> 0, CO <sub>2</sub>	
16	7	1.9048	2.5000	5250	4000	H <sub>2</sub> 0, CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub>	
17	8	2.5000	3.5000	4000	2850	H <sub>2</sub> 0, CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , O	
18	7	3.5000	4.0000	2850	2500	H <sub>2</sub> 0, CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub>	

Visible Band 1-10: 0.18-0.689 microns (Libera: 0.3-0.7)

Near-IR: Band 11-18 (0.690-4 microns) (Libera: 0.7-5)

# CERES SYN – NIR, VIS, SW time evolution in absorption



- VIS and NIR contribute to SW change at similar magnitude
- NIR dominates until 2016, then drops while VIS increases
- NIS & VIS exhibit similar interannual variability

# CERES SYN – NIR, VIS, SW time evolution in absorption



- Change and var in Surface absorption dominates; NIR more dominant
- Atmospheric absorption:
  - contributes significantly to TOA (2010-2016); NIR dominates var in ATM
  - Drop below 2000 levels after 2017 explains drop in total ASR



# CERES SYN – NIR, VIS, SW time evolution in absorption



- NIR tracks water vapor changes (R=0.6) until 2017
- VIS tracks AOD (R=0.8)
- CF increase since 2017 inhibits WV absorption in NIR?



## CCS workshop on Earth's Energy Imbalance

• Motivated by insight gained during and since



- Since then: "EEI form the ocean perspective", accelerometry concept for direct measurement "Space Balls", participation in Libera.
- Why a workshop at JPL?
  - Planned to occur 2 years ago
  - Inform JPL on the various aspects of EEI research and identify existing and future EEI related research across disciplines.
  - Vast ocean community at JPL (ECCO, altimetry, gravimetry).
  - Raise awareness that EEI research ought to be an area of focus for JPL/NASA.

#### CCS workshop on Earth's Energy Imbalance & Planetary Heat Uptake

#### Organizers: Maria Hakuba & Felix Landerer

JPL 180-101, February 14 - 15, 2023

#### Motivation

- EEI is robust and comprehensive measure of global heating rate; indispensable for informing public and decision-makers.
- 2) EEI research and observation not a core competence of JPL.
- Critical scientific & observational gaps can be addressed from space.

#### **Objectives**

- 1) Convey urgent need for Earth Energy imbalance (EEI) monitoring, assessment and understanding.
- 2) Strengthen JPL's contribution to EEI assessments.
- Identify assets to advance the quantification, monitoring, and understanding of EEI.
- 4) Foster discussions on research and mission opportunities, including DS ESAS.
- 5) Contribute toward comprehensive NASA climate communication.
- 6) Foster **collaboration** across JPL and the national and international communities.

#### Participants

External: G. Schmidt (NASA GISS), P. Pilewskie (LASP), K. von Schuckmann (Meractor Ocean), T. Mauritsen (Univ. Stockholm), S. P. <u>Raghuraman</u> (NCAR), M. <u>Rugenstein</u> (CSU), M. Mayer (ECMWF), F. J. Cuesta-Valero (UFZ), F. <u>Straneo</u> (SIO), T. Boyer (NOAA), G. Johnson (PMEL) NASA HQ: David Considine & Nadya <u>Vinogradova Shiffer</u> Internal: 32, 33, 34, 38, 8x





#### **Topics covered**

- Importance of EEI in model prediction
- Space radiometric challenge of measuring EEI
- Future EEI mission concepts
- · Variability and forced change in EEI
- · Spectral radiation budget missions
- EEI estimates via heat inventory method across Earth system components:
  - Land and sea ice melt
  - Ocean heat from in-situ, satellite & models
  - Continental heat storage
  - Atmospheric heat content & divergence
- Carbon and water cycle overviews to spur discussion on cycle interaction investigations.

#### **Preliminary Recommendations**

- Integrated EEI & energy flux assessments across climate system components and across scales to quantify EEI globally and understand processes regionally/temporally.
- Direct EEI measurement from space is radiometrically impossible at required accuracy? Future concepts (e.g., accelerometry) needed to fill this critical gap.
- Interpreting change in EEI requires integration of observation, modeling and spectral fingerprinting.
- Emerging misfits across systems (e.g., sea level budget closure; radiation in models vs. observations) & emerging accelerations in change (e.g., ocean heat, sea ice melt, water storage) need to be addressed.
- JPL's (indirect) contributions (as predominantly data provider) to heat inventory assessments are various, but need to be streamlined & strengthened.



## February 14+15, 2023

#### Motivation:

- 1. Urgency for EEI monitoring to inform the public and decision-makers.
- 2. Critical gaps exist & fillable from space.
- 3. EEI is not (yet) a core competence of JPL

#### **Objectives:**

- 1) Strengthen JPL's contribution to EEI assessments.
- 2) Identify assets to advance the monitoring and understanding of EEI.
- 3) Foster discussions on research and mission opportunities (DS ESAS).
- 4) Contribute toward comprehensive NASA climate communication.
- 5) Foster collaboration across the international community.



Ocean heat content change ≈ Total sea level - ocean mass change





Will seamlessly continue the **CERES record & contribute** to understanding change in Earth's radiation budget.



Improve understanding of the role of mineral dust in radiative forcing.



Will document, for the first time, variability in Far-infrared radiation on multiple timescales.

#### **Novel mission concept**

Filling a critical observation gap: Ancient-novel mission concept to measure EEI directly from space via radiation pressure accelerations.

A near-spherical low-drag S/C that is highly sensitive to radiation pressure from both Sun and Earth (Space Balls).



## Hitchhiker's pyramid to Earth's Energy System



## Hitchhiker's pyramid to Earth's Energy System



# Climate obs. Continuity in the U.S.

4/25/2023

Ensure continuity of ERB observations through sustained commitment



Finding 1.4: The U.S. does not have a systematic, overarching plan or framework for identifying, prioritizing, funding, and implementing additional sustained Earth observations that are critical to supporting our nation's science, policy, and societal resilience goals.

# Thank you

# **Prioritizing Earth observations**

Ensure continuity of climate observations through sustained commitment





## **Atmospheric Measurement Techniques**

ARTICLES & PREPRINTS ▼ SUBMISSION POLICIES ▼ PEER REVIEW ▼ EDITORIAL BOARD ABOUT ▼ EGU PUBLICATIONS <



Status: this preprint is currently under review for the journal AMT.

Angular Sampling of a Monochromatic, Wide-Field-of-View Camera to Augment Next-Generation Earth Radiation Budget Satellite Observations

Jake J. Gristey 🖂, K. Sebastian Schmidt, Hong Chen, Daniel R. Feldman, Bruce C. Kindel, Joshua Mauss, Mathew van den Heever, Maria Z. Hakuba, and Peter Pilewskie

based camera is demonstrated for sampling the angular distribution of... Read more

Short summary The concept of a satellite-



Abstract. Earth radiation budget (ERB) satellite observations require conversion of the measured radiance, which is a remotely-



Figure 5. Pearson correlation coefficient between TOA spectral radiances and the VIS (orange) and NIR (blue) sub-band radiances for (a) all scenes in the CLARREO OSSE and (b) SCIAMACHY observations and SCIAMACHY-like simulations. Star symbols highlight the wavelengths of focus: 555 nm and 865 nm. Correlations extend beyond the given vertical axis range, but only the highest correlations are shown. Note that the NIR sub-band correlation is not shown for SCIAMACHY because of spectrallyincomplete data in the NIR.



Figure 1. Flow diagram providing a broad overview of the processing steps required to go from WFOV camera images at a single mid-visible wavelength to a VIS sub-band ADM.



Figure 7, Relationship between nadir radiance at (a) 865 nm and the NIR sub-band, and (b) 555 nm and the VIS sub-band for mostly cloudy over ocean scenes in the CLARREO OSSE. Data points are colored as high cloud (blue), mid-level cloud (orange), or low cloud (green), defined using the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) cloud top pressure boundaries.

50 -a

40

30 ≥

P 20

PE 10

**NIR** 

Έ