A consistent depiction of longwave broadband and spectral radiative forcing and feedback from two decades of observations

Xianglei Huang¹, Xiuhong Chen¹, Norman Loeb², Seiji Kato², Qing Yue³, Eric Fetzer³, Qing Liang⁴, Luke Oman⁴, Stephen D. Steenrod⁴

1. University of Michigan 2. NASA Langley Research Center 3. JPL/Caltech 4. NASA GSFC

CERES Spring 2023 Science Team Meeting May 9-11, 2023



Acknowledgements: CERES project

- We have had concurrent broadband and spectrally-resolved measurements since 2002, i.e., CERES and AIRS on Aqua.
- We also have had T & q well assimilated into ERA5 and MERRA-2 reanalyses
- We also have had cloud observations better than before
- GHGs have been continuously monitored
- Ozone monitoring and "nudged" simulations are also well developed

"Do we have a consistent picture from these observations-based datasets regarding the longwave radiative forcings and feedbacks in the last two decades?"

Some work presented here is still working-in-progress. Please do not cite.



Datasets (Jan 2003 - Dec 2022)

- CERES EBAF 4.2 AIRS L3 Spectral OLR product
 - 10cm⁻¹ spectral flux derived from collocated AIRS and CERES measurements
- MODIS monthly-mean cloud state joint histograms
 - Derived from Eric Fetzer's MEASURES project
- ECMWF ERA5 reanalysis temperature and humidity profiles
- $CO_2/CH_4/N_2O$ from NOAA GML
- O_3 from the NASA GEOS with the full chemistry version (GEOSCCM) with nudged meteorology
 - ~100km horizontal resolution, 72 vertical levels



Global-mean OLR time series as inferred from CERES EBAF OLR and AIRS spectral OLR

Monthly-mean

Annual-mean



EBAF: 24-hour average AIRS: equally weighted average of ascending and descending Aqua observations



Zonal-mean Trend



Update to EBAF 4.2 Trend computed using the same period (2003 to 2021, annual trend)



 $\frac{dF(v)}{dt}$ AIRS spectral OLR trend: global and zonal-mean (2003-2022)





Decompose to the spectral radiative forcing and spectral feedback

 $\frac{dF(v)}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[-RF(v)\right] + \left[-\lambda(v)\right] \frac{dT_{surface}}{dt} \qquad "-" here to indicate upward positive$

- $\frac{d}{dt}RF(v)$: computed using time-varying CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, and O₃ with PCRTM and ERA-5 meteorological fields
 - It is ERF, so fast adjustment is estimated using a CESM-AMIP run with varying GHGs
- $\frac{dT_{surface}}{dt}$:global-mean surface temperature trend from ERA5
- λ(v): spectral radiative feedback estimated with spectral radiative kernels (Huang et al., 2014; Yue et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2019), ERA5 fields, and MODIS cloud fields.



 $\frac{d}{dt}$ [-*RF*(*v*)]: ERF with adjustment derived from an CESM-AMIP simulations (2003-2022)





$-\lambda(v)$: the short-term feedbacks (year-to-year variations)

2003 to 2022







Spectral trend vs. Forcing + Feedback (2003 - 2022)





Spectral to broadband estimates

•
$$\frac{dF(v)}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[-RF(v) \right] + \left[-\lambda(v) \right] \frac{dT_{surface}}{dt}$$

	<pre> dF dt from EBAF4.2 (Wm⁻²/decade) </pre>	<pre> dF dt from collocated CERES (Wm⁻²/decade) </pre>	<pre> dF dt from AIRS (Wm⁻²/decade) </pre>	$\frac{d}{dt} \left[-RF(v) \right] + \left[-\lambda(v) \right] \frac{dT_{surface}}{dt}$ (Wm ⁻² /decade)	$\frac{d}{dt}[-RF]$ (Wm ⁻² /decade)	-λ (Wm⁻²/K)	$rac{dT_{surface}}{dt}$ (K/decade
2003 to 2021	0.31±0.64	0.33±0.60	0.19±0.65	0.28	-0.22	1.84	0.27
2003 to 2022	0.31±0.59	0.25±0.55	0.17±0.60	0.28	-0.22	1.96	0.25

2022: Hunga Tonga eruption; Aqua spacecraft anomaly since April; EBAF switched to NOAA20



Article Open Access Published: 20 April 2023

Direct observation of Earth's spectral long-wave feedback parameter

Florian E. Roemer 🖂, Stefan A. Buehler, Manfred Brath, Lukas Kluft & Viju O. John

Nature Geoscience (2023) Cite this article

947 Accesses | 13 Altmetric | Metrics

Abstract

The spectral long-wave feedback parameter represents how Earth's outgoing long-wave radiation adjusts to temperature changes and directly impacts Earth's climate sensitivity. Most research so far has focused on the spectral integral of the feedback parameter. Spectrally resolving the feedback parameter permits inferring information about the vertical distribution of long-wave feedbacks, thus gaining a better understanding of the underlying processes. However, investigations of the spectral long-wave feedback parameter have so far been limited mostly to model studies. Here we show that it is possible to directly observe the global mean all-sky spectral long-wave feedback parameter using satellite observations of seasonal and interannual variability. We find that spectral bands subject to strong water-



Spectral trend vs. Forcing + Feedback (2008-2019)



The lower feedback+forcing is due to cloud feedback

Cloud feedback is calculated from 2008 to 2019

	2008 to 2019
$\frac{dF}{dt}$ from EBAF4.2 (Wm ⁻² /yr)	0.081±0.125
$\frac{dF}{dt}$ from collocated CERES with AIRS (Wm ⁻² /yr)	0.072±0.120
$\frac{dF}{dt}$ from AIRS (Wm ⁻² /yr)	0.067±0.128
$\frac{dF}{dt}$ from IASI (Wm ⁻² /yr)	0.089±0.129
$\frac{d}{dt}RF(v) + [-\lambda(v)]\frac{dT_{surface}}{dt}$ (Wm-2/yr)	0.050
$\frac{d}{dt}RF$ (Wm ⁻² /yr)	-0.026
-λ (Wm ⁻² /K)	1.587
$rac{dT_{surface}}{dt}$ (K/yr)	0.047



Spectral trend vs. Forcing + Feedback (2008-2019)





Conclusions and reflections

- We have enough data now to start to
 - looking the spectral dimension of the longwave radiative forcing and feedback from observations
 - painting the whole picture from concerted observations
- Interannual variability is not small
 - Continuity is the key as the secular signal starts to stand out of the internal fluctuation: gaps in observations will be a showstopper
 - Cloud feedback in response to CO₂ is most difficult to estimate from observations; other LW feedbacks likely can be estimated confidently from current observations.



Backup

https://www.dropbox.com/s/k07jeqmmugw4bng/Screenshot%202023-05-04%20at%2012.19.49%20AM.png?dl=0



833	Table 2						
	Global Mean	Tropical Mean	N. Hemisphere Extra-tropics	S. Hemisphere Extra-tropics			
AIRS 1:30 PM	0.0024±0.0189	-0.0163±0.0361	0.0432±0.0406	-0.0008±0.0257			
AIRS 1:30 AM	0.0110±0.0163	-0.0029±0.0312	0.0510±0.0343	-0.0012±0.0261			
AIRS 1:30 AM/PM	0.0064±0.0174	-0.0100±0.0335	0.0469±0.0370	-0.0013±0.0256			
CERES	0.0112±0.0179	-0.0063±0.0345	0.0554±0.0366	0.0020±0.0259			

Joel Susskind, Jae Lee, and Lena Iredell

Clear Sky OLR agrees better with AIRS than with CERES, but also has a small negative drift.