A new ice parameterization for broadband radiative transfer simulations in comparison with CERES observations
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Main Objectives

• Develop an ice cloud habit model that is able to achieve spectral consistency in satellite-based cloud property retrievals across the visible and infrared bands. Two-habit ice particle model is an optimal choice in terms of spectral consistency.

• Evaluate the performance of the two-habit model for broadband radiative transfer simulations.
Basic equations for parameterization

\[ \tau = IWP(a + b / r_e) \]

\[ 1 - \tilde{\omega}_i = c + d \ r_e \]

\[ g = e + f \ r_e \]
Overview of ice cloud radiative property parameterization

- Early efforts parameterized cirrus optical properties in terms of cloud temperature (Platt and Harshvardhan, 1988).
- Slingo (1989) first proposed a water cloud radiative property parameterization for GCM, assuming spherical water cloud particles.
- Ebert and Curry (1992) followed Slingo’s idea and developed the ice cloud radiative property parameterization assuming smooth single column ice particles.
- Many ice optical property parameterizations follow the single column ice particle model (Fu, 1996; Fu et al., 1999; Fu et al., 2007).
- Other studies propose the use of different ice particle habits, i.e., Chebyshev particles (McFarquhar et al., 2002) and various ice habits (Key et al., 2002; Chou et al., 2002)
- Need innovative & accurate light scattering modeling capabilities
The Waterman T-Matrix Method

\[
T = -R g Q [Q]^{-1}
\]

Extended boundary condition

\[
\tilde{E}^{\text{inc}}(\bar{r}') = -\int_s ds \{ i \omega \mu_0 [\hat{n} \times \tilde{H}(\bar{r})] \cdot \tilde{G}(\bar{r},\bar{r}') + [\hat{n} \times \tilde{E}(\bar{r})] \cdot [\nabla \times \tilde{G}(\bar{r},\bar{r}')] \}, \quad \bar{r}' \in V_1
\]

\[
\tilde{E}^{\text{sca}}(\bar{r}') = \int_s ds \{ i \omega \mu_0 [\hat{n} \times \tilde{H}(\bar{r})] \cdot \tilde{G}(\bar{r},\bar{r}') + [\hat{n} \times \tilde{E}(\bar{r})] \cdot [\nabla \times \tilde{G}(\bar{r},\bar{r}')] \}, \quad \bar{r}' \in V_0
\]

(Mishchenko and Martin, JQSRT, 123:2-7, 2013)

Peter Waterman
1928 – 2012
Break-through in Light Scattering Computation -- Invariant Imbedding T-matrix Method

Maxwell’s equations

\[ \tilde{E}(\vec{r}) = \tilde{E}_{inc}(\vec{r}) + k^2 \int (m^2 - 1) \tilde{G}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \cdot \tilde{E}(\vec{r}') d^3 \vec{r}' \]

\[ T_{mmnn}(r + dr) = Q_{11}^m(r + dr) + [I + Q_{12}^m(r + dr)][I - T_{mmnn}(r) \tilde{Q}_{22}^m(r + dr)]^{-1} T_{mmnn}(r) [I + \tilde{Q}_{12}^m(r + dr)] \]

Volume Integral Equation

Johnson (1988); Bi, Yang, Kattawar, and Mishchenko, (2013)
An innovative way to think about light scattering by a nonspherical particle.
Ice Refractive Index

[Graph showing real and imaginary parts of the refractive index as a function of wavelength (µm).]
Overview of ice cloud radiative property parameterization-continued

• Ice habit mixture models are established based on the fact that realistic ice clouds are composed of ice particles with various habits; for example, ice aggregate model (Baran and Francis, 2004), MODIS Collection 4, 5, and 6 models (King et al., 2004; Baum et al., 2011; Platnick et al. 2014).

• It has been extensively debated how much complexity with respect to ice habit should be included in a parameterization scheme.

• RTM and GCM applications require a relatively simple and flexible ice parameterization which can maintain good accuracy.
Replicator Ice Crystal Profiles for FIRE Cirrus II Campaign
(Data courtesy of A. Heymsfield, L. Miloshevich, S. Aulenbach, NCAR)
Q. Fu 1996 Single Column Model

- Smooth column particles
- Five aspect ratios
- Particle size distributions from in-situ observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Column (µm)</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 &lt; L ≤ 30</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 &lt; L ≤ 80</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 &lt; L ≤ 200</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 &lt; L ≤ 500</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 &lt; L</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of Column (µm):
- D = 177 µm
- D = 47 µm
- D = 16 µm

Weight on Number Density

D_e : Effective Diameter
Two-habit ice particle model

(a) Single hexagonal column with an aspect ratio of unity;
(b) Hexagonal aggregate with 20 solid or hollow columns.

Liu, Yang, Minnis, Loeb, Kato, Heymsfield, Schmitt, 2014
Effect of particle surface roughness on retrievals: 
Ice cloud optical thickness and effective particle size

Yang, Hong, Kattawar, Minnis, Hu, 2008
Simultaneous retrieval of cloud optical thickness and effective particle size (Nakajima-King algorithm)

Cloud optical and microphysical properties
Nakajima-King Retrieval Algorithm
Scatter plots of MODIS observed TOA BTs and BTD (8.5-11mm) vs. simulated BTs and BTD by using the optimal $t$ and $D_{eff}$ (after Wang et al. 2011).
Spectral Consistency of Optical Thickness Retrievals

Optical thickness retrieved from shortwave bi-spectral method and infrared split-window method are consistent when the Two Habit Model is used.

In this granule, pixels with scattering angle between 100° and 140° are used in the analysis.

Courtesy of S. Hioki and Y. Ding
The Two Habit Model is consistent with global cloud polarized reflectivity data collected by PARASOL satellite during September 2005. Samples are from cold clouds over ocean.

Courtesy of S. Hioki
Band-averaged ice cloud bulk optical properties based on the two-habit model for the Fu-Liou RTM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Unit: (\mu m)</th>
<th>LW</th>
<th>Unit: cm(^{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2-0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7-1.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>280-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.3-1.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>400-540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9-2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>540-670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5-3.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>670-800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.5-4.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>800-980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.0-4.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>980-1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.8-7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1100-1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.5-11.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1250-1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.0-14.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1400-1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.0-17.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1700-1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.0-22.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1900-2200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ice cloud radiative forcing simulated as a function of the effective diameter and optical thickness.
Comparison between CERES observations and simulations by Fu-Liou RTM

• CERES data:
  – Aqua CERES SSF (Single Satellite Footprint) FM3 level-2 pixel-level instantaneous observations containing cloud information (cloud phase, optical thickness, cloud fraction, effective diameter, etc.); SW and LW flux at the TOA under cloudy-sky conditions.
  – CERES EBAF-TOA (Energy Balanced And Filled) data edition 2.8 providing the net balanced TOA fluxes in monthly 1x1 degree resolution.

• Data range:
  – 24 granules observed on 11 Feb 2008, about 33,005 footprints with ice clouds for the SSF level-2 data
  – 2002-2011 CERES EBAF-TOA fluxes

• Simulations:
  – MERRA reanalysis 3-hourly atmospheric profiles are used;
  – Fu-Liou RTM is used with the Two-habit model ice optics parameterization
  – CAM5 AGCM is used with the Two-habit model ice optics parameterization

Definition: CRF at TOA = TOA cloudy-sky flux – TOA clear-sky flux
Methodology

• RTM simulations:
  – Find the instantaneous pixels where only ice clouds exist by using CERES MODIS cloud phase product;
  – Interpolate the corresponding vertical atmospheric profile from MERRA 3-hourly reanalysis data;
  – Determine the cloud level in the atmospheric profile by CERES MODIS cloud top pressure;
  – Collect the necessary cloud parameters for RTM simulations including cloud fraction, cloud optical thickness, and cloud effective diameter;
  – Carry out Fu-Liou RTM simulations for clear-sky and cloudy-sky (with two-habit model ice optics) conditions;
  – Calculate the cloudy-sky TOA radiative flux and ice cloud radiative forcing, and compare the simulations against CERES observations.

• AGCM simulations:
  – Ten-year global simulation at 1.9x2.5 degree resolution from 2002 to 2011 forced with historical observed sea surface temperature with 2 year spin-up.
Spatial distribution of the CERES ice cloud footprints (11 February 2008)
Frequency distribution of the CERES ice cloud footprints selected from 11 February 2008.
CERES TOA observed ice cloud radiative effects versus simulated ice cloud radiative effects with Fu-Liou RTM
Frequency distribution of the relative percentage difference between simulated and observed CRE
Cloud radiative forcing: observation vs simulation

CERES EBAF
(a) SW CRE  Avg: -45.750
(b) LW CRE  Avg: 26.904
(c) NET CRE Avg: -18.846

CAM5 with two-habit model
(a) SW CRE  Avg: -54.132
(b) LW CRE  Avg: 24.503
(c) NET CRE Avg: -29.629

Unit: W/m²

Deep convection microphysics parameterization problem
Comparison of simulated IAB-ICOD relation and observation at 532 nm. IAB= integrated attenuated backscatter; ICOD= ice cloud optical thickness. Top row: 30N to 30S. Bottom row: 30N to 60N and 30S to 60S (Ding, Yang et al. to be submitted).
Conclusions

• The Two-habit ice cloud optics parameterization schemes are developed based on the broadband structures of the Fu-Liou and RRTMG single-column RTMs and the CAM5.1 ACGM.

• Ice cloud radiative effects calculated from the Fu-Liou RTM with the implementation of the two-habit parameterization yield good agreement with the CERES real-time satellite observations of radiative fluxes measured at the top of the atmosphere.

• Especially over the mid-latitude region, the THM ice cloud optical property parameterization scheme works the best.

• However, AGCM simulations of cloud radiative effects still have large bias in the global averaged results largely due to some possible problems in deep convection cloud simulation in the tropical region.

• The major finding of this study indicates that a physically consistent cloud microphysics-radiation parameterization is particularly important for GCM applications.

• MODIS-CALIOP/CALIPSO comparison suggests that ice crystals are not completely rough: a few percent of ice crystals may be smooth.