

Introduction:

On the Creation & Improvement of Key Ancillary Datasets Used to Generate CERES Earth Radiation Budget Climate Data Records

Norman Loeb (NASA LaRC)

Imager Aerosol Retrievals in CERES Processing

- Averaged over every CERES footprint in CERES SSF product (Level 2).
 - Widely used in research focusing on aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions.
 - CERES aerosol assimilation system ingests imager-based aerosol retrievals to provide spatially complete aerosol properties.
 - Assimilated AODs are used to compute surface radiative fluxes.
- The Challenge:
 - How can we produce an aerosol CDR across multiple missions and imagers (e.g., MODIS/Aqua -> VIIRS/S-NPP) that is free of artificial jumps, both over ocean and land?
- The Answer:
 - See Rob Levy's presentation.

Meteorological Reanalysis in CERES Processing

- Meteorological data (T & q profiles, Tskin, precipitable water, wind speed, etc.) used by multiple CERES WGs to determine cloud properties & TOA and surface radiative fluxes.
- We currently use a special version of GOES-5 for CERES (G5-CERES)
 - “frozen” version for as long a period as possible.
 - Restricted inputs to avoid discontinuities caused by changes in the input stream.
 - We place a higher priority on the consistency of the entire record than on higher accuracy for part of the record.

Meteorological Reanalysis in CERES Processing

- After 15 years of experience producing CERES data products, now is an excellent time to revisit the decisions made in the early 2000s.
- Timing also nicely coincides with GMAO plans for the next-generation reanalysis system (beyond MERRA 2).
- Goal is to work with GMAO towards the development of a next-generation climate-focused reanalysis system for CERES production (and other interested NASA satellite teams).
- CERES team has had 1 face-to-face meeting with GMAO (Nov 2014) and 1 telecon (March 2015).
- GMAO produced special simulations to test the impact of including AIRS in MERRA-2: MERRA-2 (No AIRS) vs MERRA-2 (AIRS) simulations.
- These, in turn have been compared with the AIRS retrievals (Version 6) of T, q and T_{skin}, and comparisons between simulated and observed MODIS brightness temperatures (clear-sky only).

Topic for Discussion

- Are the MERRA-2 vs AIRS or MODIS comparisons well-posed (i.e., apples-to-apples)?
 - Time sampling differences?
 - Are the variables being compared defined the same way in MERRA-2 and the satellite products (e.g., Tskin)?
- What are the uncertainties in the satellite retrievals?
 - In both data-rich and data-sparse (e.g., Arctic) regions?
 - In the planetary boundary layer, where sensitivity is lower?
 - Impact of assumptions made in retrievals (e.g., surface emissivity)?
 - Clear-sky bias in satellite retrievals?
- What variables produced by the reanalysis system have the greatest impact on CERES data products?
- Are we using the reanalysis products correctly (e.g., as a black box)?
- If we can confidently point to deficiencies in certain areas in the current reanalysis system, what can be done to rectify the issues in the next-generation reanalysis?
- What can we (the satellite community) do to help GMAO during development of the next reanalysis system?