# **CERES Ed4 Cloud Properties, 3rd Delivery**

P. Minnis, D. Doelling (calibration), W. Smith (val), D. Painemal (NPP: val) NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

S. Sun-Mack (QB), Q. Trepte (mask), F-L. Chang (CO2, ML), T. Chee (web, DM), R. Arduini (RTM), K. Bedka (OT tops), S. Bedka (SIST), R. Brown (QC), Y. Chen (clr props, test runs), S. Gibson (graphics), E. Heckert (web, IG), G. Hong (night tau), M.
Khaiyer (val), R. Palikonda (offline testing), B. Scarino (cal), R. Smith (web, NPP), D. Spangenberg (polar), Y. Yi (thickness), C. Yost (phase)

SSAI, Hampton, VA, USA

P. W. Heck (retrieval algo)

CIMSS, U. Wisconsin, Madison, Wi, USA

P. Yang, Y. Xie (ice cloud models)

Texas A&M Univ., College Station, TX, USA





**CERES** Science Team Meeting, Newport News, VA, 1-3 May 2012

# Update of CERES Cloud-related Papers, etc.

### Edition-2 related

- Painemal, D. and P. Minnis, 2012: On the dependence of albedo on cloud microphysics over marine stratocumulus cloud regimes. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D06203, doi:10.1029/2011JD017120.
- Painemal, D., P. Minnis, J. K. Ayers, and L. O'Neill, 2012: GOES-10 microphysical retrievals in marine warm clouds: Multi-instrument validation and daytime cycle over the Southeast Pacific. Submitted to *J. Geophys. Res.*
- Giannecchini, K., X. Dong, B. Xi, P. Minnis, and S. Kato, 2011: Validation of CERES-MODIS Arctic cloud properties using CloudSat/CALIPSO and ARM NSA observations. *AGU Fall Mtg 2011*, 5-9 December, San Francisco, CA, A31C-0084.
- Stanfield, R., X. Dong, B. Xi, A. Kennedy, A. Del Genio, P. Minnis, D. Doelling, and N. Loeb, 2011: Comparison of global cloud fraction and TOA radiation budgets between the NASA GISS AR5 GCM simulations and CERES-MODIS observations. AGU Fall Mtg 2011, 5-9 December, San Francisco, CA, GC43A-0883.
- Yan, H., J. Huang, P. Minnis, and T. Wang, 2012: Comparison of CERES surface radiation fluxes and cloud microphysical properties with surface observations. 1<sup>st</sup> Joint AMS-Asia Satellite Meteorol. Conf., New Orleans, LA, 22 -26 January, 10B.4.

#### Edition-4 related

Doelling, D. R., C. Lukashin, P. Minnis, B. Scarino, and D. Morstad, 2012: Spectral reflectance corrections for satellite intercalibrations using SCIAMACHY data. *Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.*, 9, 119-123, doi: 10.1109/LGRS.2011.2161751.

Xie, Y., P. Yang, G. W. Kattawar, P. Minnis, Y. Hu, and D. Wu, 2011: Determination of ice cloud models using MODIS and MISR data. *Intl. J. Remote Sens.*, **33** (13), 4219-4253, doi:10:080/01431161.2011.642323.





# Update of CERES Cloud-related Papers, etc.

#### **Edition-4 related**

- Kato, S., F. G. Rose, S. Sun-Mack, W. F. Miller, Y. Chen, D. A. Rutan, G. L. Stephens, N. G. Loeb, P. Minnis, B. A. Wielicki, D. M. Winker, T. P. Charlock, P. W. Stackhouse, K.-M. Xu, and W. Collins, 2011: Computation of top-of-atmosphere and surface irradiances with CALIPSO, CloudSat, and MODIS-derived cloud and aerosol properties. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D19209, doi:10.1029/2011JD016050.
- Doelling, D. R., B. R. Scarino, D. Morstad, A. Gopalan, R. Bhatt, C. Lukashin, and P. Minnis, 2012: The calibration of visible imagers using operational hyperspectral SCIAMACHY radiances. Submitted, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*
- Heck, P., P. Minnis, R. Palikonda, S. Bedka, C. Yost, Y. Yi, and J. K. Ayers, 2011: Improved methods for and validation of nighttime cloud property retrievals from SEVIRI, GOES, and MODIS. *3<sup>rd</sup> EUMETSAT Cloud Property Retrieval Workshop, CREW-3*, 15-18 November, Madison, WI.
- Trepte, Q., P. Minnis, S. Sun-Mack, and C. Trepte, 2011: A comparison of cloud detection between CERES Ed4 cloud mask and CALIPSO Version 3 Vertical Feature Mask. 3<sup>rd</sup> EUMETSAT Cloud Property Retrieval Workshop, CREW-3, 15-18 November, Madison, WI.
- Chang, F.-L., P. Minnis, S. Sun-Mack, and R. Palikonda, 2011: Using CALIPSO/CloudSat data to evaluate the multilayer cloud properties retrieved from MODIS and SEVIRI data. *3<sup>rd</sup> EUMETSAT Cloud Property Retrieval Workshop, CREW-3*, 15-18 November, Madison, WI.
- Trepte, Q., P. Minnis, R. Palikonda, K. Bedka, and S. Sun-Mack, 2011: Comparison of cloud detection using the CERES Ed4 and LaRC AVHRR cloud masks and CALIPSO Version 3 Vertical Feature Mask. *AGU Fall Mtg 2011*, 5-9 December, San Francisco, CA, A13B-0234.
- Scarino, B., D. R. Doelling, D. Morstad, P. Minnis, and C. Lukashin, 2012: The calibration of LEO and GEO satellite imager radiances using hyper-spectral data from SCIAMACHY. AMS 8<sup>th</sup> Ann. Symp. Future Oper. Environ. Satellite Sys., New Orleans, LA, 22 -26 January, P494.





# **Issues from Previous Meeting**

- Ed4 was delivered too soon without thoroughly checking polar clouds
  - discontinuity line returned
    - small in daytime
    - significant at night
  - cloud fractions at night too high over polar regions
    - in some cases > CALIPSO
- Ed4 3<sup>rd</sup> delivery proposed
  - address discontinuity & source of overestimation
    - BTD3.7-11 noise
    - T3.7 calibration
    - use of nonpolar mask for nonpermanent snow?
  - use data from early, mid, and late years
- Post-meeting discovery



- CO2 code used wrong humidity profile



### Terra 3.7-µm Calibration

- Developed calibration corrections for all Terra-Aqua seasons
  - matched data with <u>+</u> 10° VZA, 1 hour
  - computed mean differences for every half degree of Terra
  - retrieved error function fit to mean differences
- Used average correction for each season to pre-Aqua Terra data
- Interpolate between seasons to get monthly corrections
- Add difference to Terra observed value to obtain Aqua equivalent



### Nighttime 3.7-µm Destriping

 Applied destriping algorithm developed by F. Chang to minimize noisy clouds in the polar regions; improved polar night mask immediately



CERES

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Total Daytime Cloud Fraction: CERES Ed 2 versus Ed 4 Winter 2000/2001

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Increased cloud amounts everywhere except Brazil, Arctic lands
- Trade Cu and dry high altitude greatest increases
- Polar line still evident at 50°N
   *not as prominent* Difference

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Cloud Mask Changes since last STM

- Daytime tropical ocean: Refined heavy dust and low clouds tests in Sun glint and nonglint ocean, reduced false clouds from Sahara dust storm and meanwhile maintained the daytime ocean cloud fraction.
- Daytime non-polar high latitude land: Reduced false clouds over high-elevated deserts and improved dark and melting snow detections.
- Redefined NH polar regions for summer months (Apr Oct) to apply non-polar mask beyond 60°N to reduce discontinuity lines.
- Daytime polar: Reduced false thin Ci clouds from Ref 1.38 test over the Arctic; Refined the clouds and snow classification for TBD pixels. Reduced the discontinuity lines in NH winter months.
- Twilight polar: Reduced twilight stripping clouds by tightening BTD and reflectance thresholds. Separated Aqua and Terra 2.1 um tests to compensate Terra MODIS 2.1 um stripping at 87<SZA< 88.5.
- **Nighttime polar:** Reduced discontinuity lines in NH winter months.
  - Reduced false speckle inversion clouds over Arctic land due to noisy BTD3.7-11. Added new inversion clouds tests over Arctic ocean.
  - Improved mid and low clouds tests, added T8.5-T6.7 test over Arctic sea ice.
    - Refined cloud and snow tests for TBD pixel

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

Adjusted clear sky restoration tests.

### Better distinction between heavy dust and low clouds

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Aerosol detection regions Land and water

# Saharan heavy dust box only over water

Tests are slightly more liberal to call dust

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Case 1 Aqua 20080617 1510-1515

#### Cloud Mask Mask\_Ed4-del2

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Mask\_Ed4-del3

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Sun glint probability

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

It's an art to keep a good balance between dust and low clouds in Sun glint Case 1 cont'

### **Compare with MODIS-ST**

#### Aqua 20080617 1510-1515

#### Clear Category\_Ed4-del3

#### MODIS team - Aerosol Optical Depth, MYD04

0.8

1.0

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

good weak smk fire snow glint shdw arsl else

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

Low clouds and aerosol share similar spectral signals. It's difficult to distinguish them, especially in Sun glint.

#### Case 2 Aqua 20080613 1535-1540

#### Cloud Mask Mask\_Ed4-del2

#### Mask\_Ed4-del3

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Reduced false clouds along Sun glint boundary

Case 2 cont'

### **Compare with MODIS-ST**

#### Aqua 20080613 1535-1540

Mask\_Ed4-del3

#### MODIS team - Cloud Fraction MYD06

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

Polar Region Improvements (Daytime, Nighttime, Twilight)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Terra Daytime Transition Zone**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Aqua Arctic Night**

#### Aqua 20080116 1400-1405

Mask\_Ed4-del2

Mask\_Ed4-del3

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

Reduced false spotty clouds, some still remain due to signal noise.

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Terra Arctic Night**

#### Terra 20080116 1320-25

#### Mask\_Ed4-del2

#### Mask\_Ed4-del3

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

NASA

Polar Summary Ed4-del3

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

Reduced false noisy clouds and the line

cold mi&lo invsn snow ocean TBD clear twi bad cld cld cld ice cld light data

### Aqua Arctic Twilight

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

good weak smk fire snow glint shdw arsl else

### **Global and Zonal Cloud Fractions**

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Total Night Cloud Fraction, Winter 2000/2001 CERES Ed 2 versus Ed 4 del2

#### Ed4 del2

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Increased cloud amounts nearly everywhere
- Trade Cu and polar regions greatest
- Polar line more evident at 50°N

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Total Night Cloud Fraction, Winter 2000/2001 CERES Ed 2 versus Ed 4 del3

#### Ed4 del3

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

- del3 reduces Arctic clouds
- Trade Cu and polar regions greatest
- Polar line less evident

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Mean Cloud Fraction, Night, Terra

Sept 2000

Ed2

### Apr 2001

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Ed4 del3

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

ci.

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Polar algorithm line less obvious or missing altogether in new del3
- Nocturnal cover noticeably increased (5.9 & 7.2%)
- No line during daytime in either edition

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### Mean Cloud Fraction, Terra

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Polar algorithm line less obvious or missing altogether in new del3
- Cloud cover has noticeably increased (6.9 & 6.8%)

#### Daytime

### Terra Jan 2001

#### Nighttime

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

0.20

2

0.30

0.50

0.40

0.80

8

Ed4\_Del3 Final Deliver

MODIS ST

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### CERES Aqua Ed4-del3

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Aqua and Terra January 2008

Day Antarctica

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

Night Arctic

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Aqua October 2008, Antarctica

#### CERES Ed4-del3

#### **MODIS ST**

#### CALIPSO no 80km

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Aqua October 2008

#### CERES Ed4-del3

#### MODIS ST

#### CALIPSO no 80km

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

Day

![](_page_28_Picture_9.jpeg)

Some trouble over GreenlandReasonable day-night consistency

![](_page_28_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### CERES Aqua Ed4-del3

#### Aqua and Terra July 2008

#### CERES Terra Ed4-del3

#### CALIPSO no 80km

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

0.00

0.10

0.20

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

Day Antarctica

1.00

8

Ó.

0.70

8

o.

0.50

4

ö

0.30

0.80

Night Arctic

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Aqua and Terra July 2008 Night, Antarctica

#### CERES Ed2

#### CERES Ed4-del3

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Terra & Aqua more consistent now over Antarctica

3.7-µm calibrations worked well

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Global Cloud Fraction Comparison January and July 2008

	January Day	July Day	January Night	July Night
Aqua Ed4-Del3	0.651	0.667	0.673	0.667
Terra Ed4 -Del3	0.661	0.662	0.667	0.669
Aqua Ed2	0.611	0.644	0.604	0.580
LaRC AVHRR	0.638	0.671	0.680	0.667
MODIS-ST	0.682	0.687	0.701	0.686
CALIPSO No 80km	0.699	0.694	0.753	0.715

- Total cloud cover has risen by 0.04 0.09
- Aqua & Terra more consistent than Ed2
- Day-night more consistent

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Changes in Multilayer Cloud Processing**

- ➤ Last delivery: Unit errors caused RH≥100% in all CO2 & ML retrievals.
- > CO2, IR, near-IR channel transmittance calculations were all affected.
- Reset input parameters to correct RH unit: underestimation => overestimation.
- Changes: Redefined threshold/sensitivity criteria for CO2 & ML detections/retrievals.

### **Results:**

### ➢ CO2:

Improved cirrus detection and height retrieval.

Improved cirrus emissivity retrieval and optical depth calculation.

### ► ML:

Improved ML detection and retrieval.

Upper layer emissivity and optical depth has decreased significantly. Upper layer emissivity threshold for ML is reduced from 0.90 to 0.75.

Lower layer height is changed from climatology to retrieval value,

Upper layer De changed to Dge. Retrieved value has increased due to RH correction & previous error in Re/De conversion.

Lower layer  $r_e$  still uses running-mean water  $r_e$  value saved in common block.

### Daytime and Nighttime:

Nighttime ML climatology resembles daytime ML climatology with corrected upper layer optical depths.

![](_page_35_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_18.jpeg)

CO<sub>2</sub> Cloud Height

Multilayer ID

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

1_6	4.8	8.0	11.2	14.4	Kn

Last delivery =>

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

Upper Layer Height

### Lower Layer Height

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

8.0

11.2

14.4 Km

4.8

### Last delivery =>

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

### ML Upper Optical Depth

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

3

4

5

#### ML Lower Optical Depth

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Last delivery =>

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

Ż

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

4.7

18.7

75.5

0.3

1.2

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

### ML Upper Dge(3.7µm)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

### ML Lower re (3.7µm)

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Last delivery =>

![](_page_39_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

### ML Upper Dge(2.1µm)

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

80

112

144

DGE

#### ML Lower re (2.1µm)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

24.5

31.5 RE

### Last delivery =>

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

16

48

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

17.5

3.5

10.5

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Daytime Multilayered Cloud Amounts & Heights Summer 2000/2001

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

• del3 picking up more in mid-latitudes, less in tropics

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Day-Night Multilayered Cloud Amounts & Heights Summer 2000/2001

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

• night-day patterns very similar, reduced fraction at night

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Daytime Multilayered Particle Size & Optical Depth Summer 2000/2001

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

Del3

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Daytime Multilayered Particle Size & Optical Depth Summer 2000/2001

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

X

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

The Blue Marble

Human's view of Earth

<u>True Color RGB</u> Terra MODIS from Stöckli et al.

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

### The Blue Marble

<u>True Color RGB</u> Terra MODIS from Stöckli et al.

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

Cloud remote sensing view of Earth

#### Pseudocolor RGB

Red: 0.6 μm Green: 2.1 μm Blue: 3.7-11 μm

> Aqua 16 April 2006

Sino-centric View

![](_page_47_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

Pseudocolor RGB

Red: 0.6 μm Green: 2.1 μm Blue: 3.7-11 μm

> Aqua 16 April 2006

Indo-centric View

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_6.jpeg)

Pseudocolor RGB

Red: 0.6 μm Green: 2.1 μm Blue: 3.7-11 μm

> Aqua 16 April 2006

Mer-centric View

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

Pseudocolor RGB

Red: 0.6 μm Green: 2.1 μm Blue: 3.7-11 μm

> Aqua 16 April 2006

Amer-centric View

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_6.jpeg)

Pseudocolor RGB

Red: 0.6 μm Green: 2.1 μm Blue: 3.7-11 μm

> Aqua 16 April 2006

> Arctic-centric

View

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

### News

- MODIS Cloud Algorithms Adapted to GEOSat Data for TISA
  - hourly analyses to be performed (see Palikonda talk)
  - excellent agreement for overcast marine strat clouds
- Getting initial look at VIIRS data & retrievals (see Sun-Mack talk)
- Ed4 del3 showing few minor inconsistencies on AMIE-P, resolution in May

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Consistency of GOES & **CERES** Aqua Microphysics Retrievals Marine Stratus, SE Pacific, Oct – Nov 2008

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Small differences in both droplet size and optical depth
- Optical depth differences at high end may be due to ozone differences

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

From Painemal et al., JGR, submitted, 2012

### Consistency of GOES & **MODIS** Team Aqua µphysics Retrievals Marine Stratus, SE Pacific, Oct – Nov 2008

Opt Depth

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Small differences in both droplet size and optical depth
- Optical depth differences at high end may be due to ozone differences

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

LWP

### Consistency of GOES LWP Retrievals With Solar Zenith Angle Marine Stratus, SE Pacific, Oct – Nov 2008 Comparisons with Satellite µwave Retrievals

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Only a small dependence of bias on SZA from  $0 70^{\circ}$
- GOES higher for LWP > 125 gm<sup>-2</sup>, μwave uncertainty higher (drizzle)

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

From Painemal et al., JGR, submitted, 2012

### Wrap-up for Final Ed4

- Mask much improved:
  - polar lines mostly disappeared
  - better consistency between Terra & Aqua
  - fewer false clouds due to mis-ID of aerosol
  - some tendency to overestimate clouds in SH polar region
    - not every month, ~2% issue
  - used homegrown calibrations, col 6 may cause some new problems
- ML algorithm now what it should be
  - picking up more ML in midlatitudes
  - better UL cloud taus

![](_page_56_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_12.jpeg)