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Approach: Comparison of AIRS Version 5 monthly OLR products with CERES monthly mean OLR products for the time period September 2002 through August 2009

Data Used

• AIRS monthly mean data obtained from Goddard DISC (Level 3).
  – Presented on a 1°x1° latitude-longitude grid
  – 1:30 AM and 1:30 PM monthly mean values extracted separately and averaged together

• CERES monthly mean obtained from Langley ASDC
  – All data presented on a 2.5°x2.5° ERBE-like latitude-longitude grid (ES-4)
  – CERES CV Aqua OLR and CERES CV Terra OLR data sets were both available – The higher quality FM1 data were used instead of FM2
    CERES Terra OLR was missing for January and February 2006. Data for each month was generated by interpolation of (CERES Terra OLR) – (CERES Aqua OLR) using December 2005 and March 2006
  – Both data sets include calculations to represent what CERES “would have observed” if measurements were made over the course of a whole day

• Therefore comparison of AIRS OLR with CERES Aqua OLR or CERES Terra OLR is equally valid
Significance of AIRS OLR and OLR$_{CLR}$

AIRS OLR is a computed product for each AIRS FOR using an OLR RTA

- Input data is AIRS retrieved $T_{\text{skin}}$, $\varepsilon$, $T(p)$, $q(p)$, $O_3$, $\alpha$, and $p_{\text{cloud}}$

AIRS OLR$_{CLR}$ is also computed for each AIRS FOR using same retrieved parameters but setting $\alpha = 0$

CERES OLR is a measured product

If anomalies and trends of AIRS OLR closely match those of CERES OLR, then

- This validates anomalies and trends of both AIRS OLR and CERES OLR
- This indirectly validates anomalies and trends of AIRS retrieved products
- Most importantly, anomalies and trends of OLR can now be attributed to those of its component parts
7 year monthly climatologies were generated for each grid box by averaging data for 7 Januaries, 7 Februaries, …

The monthly anomaly for each grid box is the difference of the value for that month from its climatology

The trend for a grid box is the slope of the straight line passing through the 84 monthly anomalies

The area mean trend is the cosine latitude weighted average trend over the area

Anomalies and trends of AIRS and CERES OLR can match well if there is a bias between AIRS and CERES OLR but it is essentially constant in time
September 2002 through August 2009 Time Series of Global All-Sky OLR Differences
CERES Terra and CERES Aqua match well after March 2005

- Ceres Aqua OLR is increasingly lower than CERES Terra before March 2005
- This will result in significantly different trends obtained from CERES Terra and CERES Aqua

AIRS OLR and CERES Terra OLR are biased by about 7 W/m^2, with a small seasonal cycle

- This bias is essentially constant over the 7 year time period
- This implies global mean trends of AIRS and CERES Terra OLR might agree well
- To first order, both the large bias and its small seasonal cycle will be removed in the anomaly time series

Version 6 OLR uses a new OLR RTA that essentially removes the bias between AIRS and CERES

- The new RTA was developed by AER
  - The improvement is mainly in the characterization of the H\textsubscript{2}O rotation band near 300 cm\textsuperscript{-1}
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AIRS and CERES Terra OLR anomalies and trends agree well in every detail in the 7 year period under study.

Agreement of all details of anomalies and trends determined by CERES and AIRS imply they all are real.

There is a decrease in global OLR of -0.12 Wm$^2$/yr over this time period. The majority of this global decrease originates in the tropics.

OLR trends over this time period cannot be used to predict future long term OLR trends.

It is desirable to maintain CERES and AIRS class instruments to corroborate and verify future OLR measurements.

The following results using AIRS products show that most of the OLR trends seen during this period result from El Niño/La Niña cycles.
AIRS Version 5 Zonal Mean Trends for September 2002 through August 2009
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The largest anomalies occur between $160^\circ$E and $60^\circ$W
September 2002 was the height of a strong El Niño period
February 2008 was the height of a strong La Niña period
Late 2008 has warm SST anomalies off the west coast of South America,
and cold anomalies near the dateline
Mid 2009 is the beginning of a full El Niño

Specific humidity anomalies closely follow $T_{\text{skin}}$ anomalies near the dateline
Water vapor near the dateline decreases significantly during the La Niña
Water vapor is shifted eastward-increasing west of South America and over
Indonesia during the La Niña, but decreasing in the Indian Ocean

Cloud fraction $\alpha$
Cloud fraction anomalies are highly correlated with $q(500)$ anomalies
Both near and away from the dateline
Topical OLR and OLR\textsubscript{CLR} anomalies are highly correlated with each other and negatively correlated with $q(500)$ and $\alpha$ anomalies.

The El Niño/La Niña oscillation results in a tropical zonal mean $T_{\text{skin}}$ cooling trend.

The El Niño/La Niña oscillation corresponds to a tropical zonal mean decrease in OLR and OLR\textsubscript{CLR}.

These two phenomena are indirectly related.

Decreasing water vapor and cloud cover in the La Niña area increases OLR in this region.

This is more than compensated by increasing water vapor elsewhere, lowering the zonal mean tropical OLR during La Niña.