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Motivation

Two aerosol products are available in the Terra CERES/SSF Data

There are some obvious differences in the two SSF aerosol products.

The causes of the differences need to be identified before the data can be reliably used in applications.
• **Objective**
  - Find the causes for the differences of the two aerosol products in the CERES/SSF-MODIS data set.

• **Approach**
  - Inter-comparison of the two products.
  - Validation against the surface AERONET observation.
Data Set

SSF-MODIS (Terra) Ed1A (FM1, FM2) Data — March 2001

Retrieval Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NESDIS (Stowe et al.)</th>
<th>MODIS (Tanré et al.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input Radiance:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Input Radiance:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– MODIS (0.66, 1.64μm)</td>
<td>– MODIS (0.55, 0.66, 0.87, 1.24, 1.64, 2.13μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cloud Screening:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cloud Screening:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnis et al.</td>
<td>Ackerman et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surface Reflection:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Surface Reflection:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresnel (v=1m/s) + Small Diff. Ref.</td>
<td>Fresnel (v=7m/s) + Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aerosol Model:</strong> (size dis. &amp; ref. index)</td>
<td><strong>Aerosol Model:</strong> (size dis. &amp; ref. index)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Globally fixed one model</td>
<td>– Variable models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Mono-Lognormal</td>
<td>– Bi-Lognormal (4 small/5 large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sampling:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ &gt; 40° + anti-solar side of orbit</td>
<td>γ &gt; 40°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"Cloud Contamination" Explains the Major Differences of the Two SSF AOT Products at High Latitudes of SH and NH.
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“Cloud Contamination” also Masks the Differences in the two Angström Exponents $\alpha$ that are Associated with the Differences in the Aerosol Model Assumptions of the two Retrieval Algorithms
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Summary

• “Cloud contamination” may explain the major differences in the AOTs of the two SSF/MODIS aerosol products at high latitudes. The surface disturbance is only important in limited regions.
• “Cloud contamination” and surface errors mask the difference in the two Angstrôm exponent $\alpha$ that are associated with the different aerosol model assumptions in the two SSF aerosol retrievals.
• The two SSF AOTs agree reasonably well in their global mean, the NESDIS retrieval being slightly larger. The two SSF $\alpha$ comparison in the global mean are not as good as that of AOT, the MODIS values being slightly larger.
• Limited validation using AERONET observations also suggests possible “cloud contamination” in the two SSF aerosol products. After reducing the potential “cloud contamination”, AERONET AOT and $\alpha$ values fall between the values of the two SSF aerosol products in the global mean sense, with MODIS $\tau <$ NESDIS $\tau$ and MODIS $\alpha >$ NESDIS $\alpha$. 
**Future Works**

- Make more conclusive and quantitative analysis by including more data (such as a full year of 2001).

- Apply the quality assured aerosol data and the error estimations in aerosol radiative forcing studies.
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