CERES EDITION 1 CLOUD SUMMARY

NASA : P. Minnis, D. F. Young, B. Lin

SAIC: S. Sun-Mack, Q. Trepte, Y. Chen, R. F. Arduini, W. F. Miller, R. Brown

AS&M: P. W. Heck, S.-P. Ho, J. K. Ayers

U. Utah: X. Dong

CERES STM, Williamsburg, VA, January 23, 2001

CHANGES TO CLOUD MASK

1. Further improved false cloud detection over barren using VIST no retrieval and Welch tree.

If weak clouds, VIST no retrieval, and Welch mask says clear, then these weak clouds --> clear.

2. Improved cloud detection over moderate and strong sun glint regions.

3. Added smoke tests over land (in C3) weak clear region using reflectance ratio of 0.6 to 3.7.

4. In night time mask, added T4-T5 test to confirm strong cloud.

OTHER CLOUD CODE CHANGES

- **Included water absorption in 0.6μm**
- **New no-intercept 1.6-μm calibration**
- **Corrected 3.7-μm model (coding error correction)**
- **VISST code error fixed (jumps in τ)**
- **Implemented new tile size: 8 x 16 in VISST.**
- **New Calibration 1.6/0.6 ratio model for phase determination in VISST**
- **Introduced (40GP) higher resolution VIS reflectance model**
- **N2O absorption included in 3.7-μm calculations**

VIRS CALIBRATION

- **ALL CHANNELS APPEAR STABLE THROUGH MAR 2000 RELATIVE TO CERES BROADBAND (± 1%)**

  - **1.6 μm shows some possible drift (-2%)**

Use Welch Mask to Refine CERES Cloud Mask

CERES Cloud Mask	VISST	Welch Mask	Final Cloud Mask
weak clouds	no retrieval	clear	clear weak
weak clouds	no retrieval	aerosol	clear aerosol
weak clear		clear	clear strong
weak clear		aerosol	clear aerosol
weak clear		smoke, haze	clear smoke

RESULTS

CLOUD MASK CLEAR STATISTICS, JANUARY 1998

Day: csz > 0.1

	Ocean	Land	Desert	Total
Clr Good	0.760	0.889	0.932	0.800
Clr Weak	0.016	0.036	0.032	0.022
Clr Smoke	0.033	0.029	0.013	0.031
Clr Fire	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Clr Snow	0.000	0.031	0.014	0.008
Clr Glint	0.150	0.008	0.000	0.108
Clr Shadow	0.000	0.007	0.009	0.002
Clr Aerosol	0.041	0.001	0.001	0.029
Total	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Night: csz < 0.1

	Ocean	Land	Desert	Total
Clr Good	0.817	0.522	0.065	0.646
Clr Weak	0.183	0.477	0.934	0.353
Clr Smoke	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Total	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

CLOUD MASK CLOUD STATISTICS, JANUARY 1998

Day: csz > 0.1

	Ocean	Land	Desert	Total
Cld Good	0.899	0.900	0.818	0.898
Cld Weak	0.046	0.045	0.090	0.047
Cld Glint	0.021	0.003	0.000	0.017
Cld VINT	0.033	0.053	0.093	0.038
Total	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Night: csz < 0.1

	Ocean	Land	Desert	Total
Cld Good	0.967	0.952	0.958	0.964
Cld Weak	0.019	0.039	0.014	0.023
Cld VINT	0.014	0.009	0.028	0.014
Total	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD COVER, VIRS, JAN 1998

DAYTIME



NIGHT



MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD COVER, VIRS, APRIL 1998

DAYTIME





NIGHT



MONTHLY VARIATION OF TOTAL CLOUD AMOUNT

VIRS, 1998



MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD HEIGHT, VIRS, JANUARY 1998

DAYTIME



NIGHT



MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD HEIGHT, VIRS, JUNE 1998

DAYTIME



NIGHT



TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION OF PHASE

VIRS 1998



JULY 98 MEAN EFFECTIVE RADIUS FROM VIRS





OLD ^

OLD



NEW ^

MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD DROPLET SIZE, VIRS, JAN 1998

DAYTIME





re, μm

NIGHT



MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD DROPLET SIZE, DAY VIRS,1998

APRIL



JUNE



SEASONAL VARIATION OF CLOUD DROPLET RADIUS

VIRS 1998



SOLID - OCEAN

OPEN - LAND

MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD ICE PARTICLE SIZE, VIRS JAN1998

DAYTIME





De, μm

NIGHT



MEAN EFFECTIVE CLOUD ICE PARTICLE SIZE, DAY, VIRS 1998

APRIL





De, μm

JUNE



SEASONAL VARIATION OF ICE PARTICLE SIZES

VIRS, 1998



TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD PARTICLE SIZE

VIRS 1998

JANUARY - RED



JUNE - BLUE



MEAN ICE CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH, DAY, VIRS 1998

JANUARY



APRIL



MEAN WATER CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH, DAY, VIRS 1998

JANUARY



APRIL



MEAN CLOUD OVERLAP FRACTION, DAY VIRS,1998

JANUARY



JUNE



MEAN CLOUD LIQUID WATER PATH, DAY VIRS,1998

JANUARY





g/m^2

JUNE



MEAN SUPERCOOLED CLOUD AMOUNT, DAY VIRS,1998

JANUARY



JUNE



MOA - OBS CLEAR TEMPERATURE, VIRS, JANUARY 1998

DAYTIME





T (K)

NIGHT



AEROSOL RETRIEVALS

AOT, January 1998



AOT, May 1998



AOT, March 1998



AOT, March 2000



COMPARISONS WITH CLIMATOLOGY

COMPARISON OF TOTAL CLOUD AMOUNTS

SURFACE (1971-1996)

VIRS (1998)

ISCCP (1984 - 1991)





COMPARISON OF ISCCP HIGH & VIRS ICE CLOUD AMOUNTS





COMPARISON OF ISCCP & VIRS MEAN OPTICAL DEPTHS



OLD RESULTS



VIRS, 1998, TRMM

HAN et al. 1994, *J. Climate* , 1987 NOAA-9 AVHRR, T > 273K, tau > 3

VIRS, 1998, new run

OLD RESULTS



VIRS, 1998, TRMM

HAN et al. 1994, *J. Climate* , 1987 NOAA-9 AVHRR, T > 273K, tau > 3


Effective Radius (µm)
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
-40
-30
-20
-10
0
10
20
30
40
LATITUDE (°)
Mean Difference (µm)
Ocean 1.7
Land 1.0
B JanVreO
Ñ JanHreO
H JanVreL
Ç JanHreL


EFFECTIVE RADIUS (µm)
LATITUDE (°)
Mean Difference (µm)
Ocean 1.6
Land 0.8
B AprVreO
Ñ AprHreO
H AprVreL
Ç AprHreL

SOURCES OF DIFFERENCES

- **MODEL INTERCOMPARISONS**
  - DIFFERENT ATMOSPHERIC ABSORPTION METHODS & PROFILES
  - DIFFERENT TREATMENT OF CLOUD EMISSIVITY

- **ZONAL DEPENDENCE**
  - CIRRUS CONTAMINATION
  - LOW TAUS INCLUDED
  - ANGLE DEPENDENCE

    HAN: NADIR ONLY, ZONAL SZA DEPENDENCE
  - DIFFERENT ATMOSPHERIC PROFILES

COMPARISONS WITH SURFACE DATA

STRATUS CLOUD DROPLET SIZE COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998





STRATUS CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998



STRATUS CLOUD LWP COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998



CIRRUS CLOUD CRYSTAL SIZE COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998





CIRRUS CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998





CIRRUS CLOUD IWP COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998




Daytime VIRS and Surface Comparison at ARM SGP Site (τ >5)
Base
Top
Effect
Cloud Heights (km)
Number of Samples
Top–Eff.=0.4, Std=1.6
Mean–Eff.=–0.6, Std=1.2
Cloud Effective height (km)
Cloud Temperatures (°K)
Top–Eff.=–6.9, Std=10.6
Mean–Eff.=–1, Std=1.6
Cloud Effective Temp (°K)


Daytime VIRS and Surface Comparison at ARM SGP Site (τ >5)
Ztop−Zeff, Mean=0.38, Std=1.6
Zeff−Zbase, Mean=1.56, Std=1.34
Cloud heights (km)
Number of Samples
Cloud Top Height (km)
Cloud Effective height (km)
Cloud Temperature (°K)
Base
Top
Effect
Number of Samples
Cloud Top Temp (°K)
Cloud Effective Temp (°K)


Daytime VIRS and Surface Comparison at ARM SGP Site (τ<5)
Cloud Heights (km)
Number of Samples
Base
Top
Effect
Cloud Heights (km)
Cloud Effective height (km)
Top−Eff.=1.09, Std=1.95
Mean−Eff.=0.19, Std=1.81
Cloud Temperatures (°K)
Number of Samples
Cloud Temperatures (°K)
Cloud Effective Temp (°K)
Top−Eff.=−11.2, Std=14.5
Mean−Eff.=−4.4. Std=12.9


Nighttime VIRS and Surface Comparisonat ARM SGP Site (τ >5)
Cloud Heights (km)
Base
Top
Effect
Number of Samples
Top–Eff.=0.13, Std=1
Mean–Eff.=–1, Std=1.1
Cloud Effective height (km)
Cloud Temperatures (°K)
Top–Eff.=–2.3, Std=7.7
Mean–Eff.=4, Std=7.7
Cloud Effective Temp (°K)


Nighttime VIRS and Surface Comparison at ARM SGP Site (τ<5)
Base
Top
Effect
Cloud Heights (km)
Number of Samples
Top−Eff.=0.8, Std=1.5
Mean−Eff.=−0.3, Std=1.4
Cloud Effective height (km)
Cloud Temperatures (°K)
Top−Eff.=−8.6, Std=10.6
Mean−Eff.=−1.2, Std=9.2
Cloud Effective Temp (°K)

STRATUS CLOUD DROPLET SIZE COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998
NIGHT



STRATUS CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998
NIGHT



CIRRUS CLOUD CRYSTAL SIZE COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998
NIGHT





CIRRUS CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH COMPARISON
ARM SGP RADAR VS VIRS 1998
NIGHT





Comparison of cloud-top heights derived GOES-8 with inversion base heights from rawinsondes along transect of R/V Vidal Gormaz in SE Pacific.

Asterisks denote observations of light rain.



ANGULAR DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD PROPERTIES

Comparison of Mean Cloud Fraction Between 199803 and 200003









Comparison of Mean Cloud Height
Between 199803 and 200003




Comparison of Mean Cloud Height Total
Between 199803 and 200003
Ocean
199803
200003
CSZ
Land
199803
200003
CSZ
Desert
199803
200003
CSZ

Comparison of Mean Cloud Particle Size
Between 199803 and 200003













Comparison of Mean Cloud Optical Depth
Between 199803 and 200003













Comparison of Mean Cloud Water Path
Between 199803 and 200003













Comparison of Mean Cloud Fraction Between 199803 and 200003









Comparison of Mean Cloud Height
Between 199803 and 200003



Comparison of Mean Cloud Particle Size
Between 199803 and 200003



Comparison of Mean Cloud Optical Depth
Between 199803 and 200003





SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD FRACTION



SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD HEIGHT



SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD WATER PATH



SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD PARTICLE SIZE



SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH



VIEWING ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD PARTICLE SIZE



VIEWING ZENITH ANGLE DEPENDENCE OF CLOUD OPTICAL DEPTH

SUMMARY

- 7 MONTHS PROCESSED TO DATE
- CLOUD PARTICLE SIZES REDUCED
- DAYTIME SHOWS GOOD AGREEMENT IN STRATUS & CIRRUS
- NIGHTTIME CLOUDS MAY BE A BIT HIGH, DON'T TRUST PROPERTIES!
- 3% OF CLOUDS- NO RETRIEVALS
- ANGULAR DEPENDENCE OF MOST PROPERTIES
- EXCELLENT AGREEMENT WITH SFC CLIMATOLOGY

* FEWER CLOUDS, MORE OD THAN ISCCP

- SEE *CERES DATA QUALITY SUMMARY REPORT*

MODIS STATUS

(Golden days are Sept 5,6,7,8; 3 days on system).

(1) MODIS reflectance code was modified to NOT take account for earth-sun distance since MODIS provided reflectance already normalized

(2) MODIS / VIRS intercomparisons for those orbits that MODIS/VIRS overpasses time < 4 min.

(3) Polar Mask is being examined

(4) MODIS data can be processed for every single pixel, every other pixel and every 5th pixel. The processing time is as following:

Every Single Pixel: 25h 45m per MODIS hour
Every Other Pixel: 6h 42m
Every 5th Pixel: 1h 20m

(5) Above is sub sample process. MOIDS sub region process is undergoing.

(6) MODIS Corr-k is coming and will be implemented after CERES STM.

(7) Initial comparisons with MODIS team results


2000090823 Western of North Pacific Ocean
MODIS
VIRS
Cloud Particle Phase
wtr cld
ice cld
no rtrv
clear
bad data
nortrv wtrCld
nortrv iceCld

DAYTIME

Observed Reflectances with VIRS Correction

2000090823 (Western of North Pacific Ocean)

(Vza < 10 deg & Vza_virs - Vza_modis < 5)

0.6 μm



Diff_mean = -0.00268481
Diff_Std = 0.0427066
%Diff = -0.050056

1.6 μm



Diff_mean = -0.0077443
Diff_Std = 0.0208253
%Diff = 5.42989

3.7 μm



Diff_mean =-0.0118418
Diff_Std = 0.0199728
%Diff = 23.0078

Observed Brightness Temperature (K)
2000090823 (Western of North Pacific Ocean))
(Vza < 10 deg & Vza_virs - Vza_modis < 5)

3.7 μm



Diff_mean = -3.39841
Diff_Std = 3.79823

11 μm



Diff_mean = 0.384652
Diff_Std = 2.64905

12 μm



Diff_mean = -1.15966
Diff_Std = 2.68632

NIGHTTIME


2000090804 Eastern of South Pacific Ocean
MODIS
VIRS
Cloud Particle Phase
wtr cld
ice cld
no rtrv
clear
bad data
nortrv wtrCld
nortrv iceCld


2000090515 West Atlantic Ocean
MODIS
VIRS
Ice Cloud Diameter (μm)
20 40 60 80 100 120
Water Cloud Radius (μm)
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35
Effective Cloud Optical Depth (gm/m*m)
0 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128

Observed Brightness Temperature (K)
2000090804 (Eastern of South Pacific Ocean))
(Vza < 10 deg & Vza_virs - Vza_modis < 5)

3.7 μm



Diff_mean = -1.38722
Diff_Std = 1.29848

11 μm



Diff_mean = 0.252932
Diff_Std = 1.2392

12 μm



Diff_mean = -0.0366167
Diff_Std = 1.1035

NIGHTTIME


2000090803 Brazil
MODIS
VIRS
Cloud Particle Phase
wtr cld
ice cld
no rtrv
clear
bad data
nortrv wtrCld
nortrv iceCld

Observed Brightness Temperature (K)
2000090803 (Brazil)

(Vza < 10 deg & Vza_virs - Vza_modis < 5)

3.7 μm



Diff_mean = -1.89737
Diff_Std = 0.466529

11 μm



Diff_mean = -0.147864
Diff_Std = 0.355895

12 μm



Diff_mean = -0.86143
Diff_Std = 0.362249


MODIS Sahara Desert, 2000090610
VIRS
CERES Mask
Clear cloudy TBD bad data
Clear Category
good weak smk fire snow glint shdw arsl else
Cloud Category
good weak glint VIST else


MODIS DATA (Sept. 6, 2000 Hour 17:15 UTC)
Red:0.6um
Green:1.6um Blue: 11um
CERES Water Cloud Radius (um)
MOD06 Water Cloud Radius (um)
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35
CERES Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
MOD06 Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20 40 60 80 100 120


MODIS DATA (Sept. 6, 2000 Hour 17:15 UTC)
CERES Cloud Phase
Green: Clear
Blue: Water Cld
White: Ice Cld
CERES Optical Depth
0 .25 .5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128
MOD06 Optical Depth
0 .25 .5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128
CERES Water Path (g/m*m)
0 19.5 78 312.5 1250 5000
MOD06 Water Path (g/m*m)
0 19.5 78 312.5 1250 5000


MODIS DATA (Sept. 6, 2000 Hour 17:20 UTC)
Red:0.6um
Green:1.6um Blue: 11um
CERES Water Cloud Radius (um)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
MOD06 Water Cloud Radius (um)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
CERES Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20
40
60
80
100
120
MOD06 Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20
40
60
80
100
120


MODIS DATA (Sept. 6, 2000 Hour 17:20 UTC)
Red:0.6um
Green:1.6um Blue: 11um
CERES Water Cloud Radius (um)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
MOD06 Water Cloud Radius (um)
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
35
CERES Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20
40
60
80
100
120
MOD06 Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20
40
60
80
100
120


Water Radius (μm)
MODIS
CERES
0
50
100
Ice Diameter (μm)
MODIS
CERES
0
100
200


Optical Depth
100
50
0
MODIS
0
50
100
CERES
Water Path (g/m*m)
5000
2500
0
MODIS
0
2500
5000
CERES


MODIS DATA (Sept. 6, 2000 Hour 17:30 UTC)
Red:0.6um
Green:1.6um
Blue: 11um
CERES Water Cloud Radius (um)
MOD06 Water Cloud Radius (um)
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35
CERES Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
MOD06 Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20 40 60 80 100 120


MODIS DATA (Sept. 6, 2000 Hour 17:30 UTC)
Red:0.6um
Green:1.6um
Blue: 11um
CERES Water Cloud Radius (um)
MOD06 Water Cloud Radius (um)
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35
CERES Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
MOD06 Ice Cloud Diameter (um)
20 40 60 80 100 120


Water Radius (μm)
MODIS
CERES
0
20
40
Ice Diameter (μm)
MODIS
CERES
0
50
100
150


Optical Depth
100
50
0
MODIS
0
50
100
CERES
Water Path (g/m*m)
1000
500
0
MODIS
0
500
1000
CERES

MODIS-CERES INITIAL COMPARISON SUMMARY

- Cloud amount: **CERES picks up slightly more cloudiness (small Cu, thin Ci)**

- Optical depth: **CERES has variable agreement with MODIS**

  - Very close for warm water clouds

  - CERES often larger in ice or supercooled conditions
  due to different ice models or different phase selection
  (no phase info available from MODIS 1 km)

- Effective radius: **MODIS generally larger than CERES**

  - CERES using VIRS 3.7-μm models & atmos corrections

  - Phase and ice model differences

- Water path: **CERES smaller over warm clouds (re too low); greater over ice (τ)**

- FUTURE: **Height & phase comparisons; corrected 3.7 models, 1.6 re, global runs; ARM validations**


Sahara Desert, 2000090610
MODIS
VIRS
More saturation!
Obs. 3.7 μm BT
Saturated
same colorbar
310 315 320 325 330 335 340 345 350 355 360
Obs. 11 μm BT
same colorbar
280 285 290 295 300 305 310 315 320 325 330
Obs. 12 μm BT
Saturated
same colorbar
280 285 290 295 300 305 310 315 320 325 330


Sahara Desert, 2000090610
MODIS
VIRS
Obs. 0.6 μm Ref
0.15 0.20 0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55
same colorbar
Obs. 1.6 μm Ref
0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8
same colorbar
Obs. ratio of 1.6 to 0.6 μm Ref
1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0
same colorbar

Observed Reflectances with VIRS Correction

Time Difference (TRMM - TERRA) = -1.4 min

2000090610 (SAHARA Desert)

(Vza < 10 deg & Vza_virs - Vza_modis < 5)

0.6 μm



Diff_mean = -0.0174608
Diff_Std = 0.00567456
%Diff = 5.4996

1.6 μm



Diff_mean = 0.010557
Diff_Std = 0.00568931
%Diff = -1.91168

3.7 μm



Diff_mean =-0.223239
Diff_Std = 0.0320723
%Diff = 259.641

Observed Brightness Temperature (K)
2000090610 (SAHARA Desert)

(Vza < 10 deg & Vza_virs - Vza_modis < 5)

3.7 μm



Diff_mean = -7.30654
Diff_Std = 1.04677

11 μm



Diff_mean = 0.390541
Diff_Std = 0.217649

12 μm



Diff_mean = -2.01523
Diff_Std = 0.199623


MODIS, Eddition 1, Great Lake
October 10, 2000, UTC1700, skip 1230
CERES Cloud Mask
CERES Only Cloud Category
Clear
cloudy
TBD
bad data
good
weak
glint
VISST
else
CERES Only Clear Category
CERES Mask AB Summary


MODIS, Eddition 1, Great Lake
October 10, 2000, UTC1705, skip 0
Red: 0.6 µm Ref
Green: 1.6 µm Ref
Blue: 11 µm BT (flip)
Solar Zenith Angle
44
46
48
50
52
54
56
58
CERES Only Cloud Category
good
weak
glint
VISST
else
CERES Only Clear Category
good
weak
smk
fire
snow
glint
shdw
arsl
else
CERES Mask AB Summary
Tlim
all B cld
3–4 Vis
3–4
Vis
IR 3–4
IR
IR Vis
all B clr
bad data

SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY MODIS ANALYSES

- **VIRS & MODIS CALIBRATIONS FOR 0.65, 1.6, 10.8 µm ARE VERY CLOSE**
  - 3.7-µm AVERAGES ABOUT 2K > VIRS
    PART SPECTRAL, PART CALIBRATION?
  - 11-µm POSSIBLE SCAN ANGLE VARIATION OF 1 OR 2K?
  - 12-µm ~ 0.5K > VIRS

- **VIRS & MODIS RETRIEVALS ARE GENERALLY CONSISTENT**
  - BIASES IN CLOUD AMT, re, DUE TO CALIBRATION